arXiv:1602.08640v1 [physics.plasm-ph] 27 Feb 2016

Nonlinear separate spin evolution in degenerate electron-positron-ion plasmas

Z. Iqbal*
Department of Physics, G. C. University Lahore, Katchery Road, Lahore 54000, Pakistan and Faculty of physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation.

Pavel A. Andreev†
Faculty of physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation.

(Dated: March 1, 2016)

The non-linear evolution of spin-electron acoustic, positron-acoustic, and spin-electron-positron acoustic waves is considered. It is demonstrated that weakly nonlinear dynamics of each wave leads to the soliton formation. Altogether, we report on existence of three different solitons. The spin-electron acoustic soliton known for electron-ion plasmas is described for electron-positron-ion plasmas for the first time. The existence of the spin-electron-positron acoustic soliton is reported for the first time. The positron-acoustic soliton and the spin-electron-positron acoustic soliton arise as the areas of a positive electric potential. The spin-electron acoustic soliton behaves as the area of a negative electric potential at the relatively small positron imbalance $n_{0p}/n_{0e} = 0.1$ and as the area of a positive electric potential at the relatively large positron imbalance $n_{0p}/n_{0e} = 0.5$.

I. INTRODUCTION

Electron-positron (e-p) plasmas exists in some astrophysical objects such as active galactic nuclei [1], in neutron star magnetosphere [2, 3]. Naturally, the existence of electron-positron plasma in compact stars has been investigated and number density ($1.1 \times 10^{33} cm^{-3}$) of such pair plasmas was calculated by applying a simplified model of a gravitationally collapsing or pulsating baryon core [4]. It is well-known that the wave propagation phenomenon in e-p plasma is different as in ordinary electron-ion (e-i) plasma. For example, it is reported that many wave phenomena like acoustic waves, whistler waves, Faraday rotation, lower hybrid waves and shear Alfven waves are absent in the nonrelativistic e-p plasmas [5, 6]. This behavior of pair plasma is due to its mass symmetry and charge anti-symmetry.

In order to study the dynamics of pair plasma in a controlled laboratory environment, it is proposed that the interaction of ultrashort laser pulses with gaseous or solid targets could lead to the generation of the optically thin e-p plasma with above solid state densities in the range of $(10^{23} - 10^{28})$ cm^{-3} [7]. The degenerate e-p plasma with ions is believed to be found in compact astrophysical objects like neutron stars and the inner layers of white dwarfs [8, 9].

The ion-acoustic wave (IAW) is caused by the density perturbation and is amongst the most well studied electrostatic modes in both linear and non linear regimes [10–12] in e-i plasmas. The solitary structure of IAWs is studied in an unmagnetized electron-positron-ion (e-p-i) plasma [13]. The nonlinear analysis shows that the amplitude of the electron density hump reduces due to the presence of positrons in the e-i plasma. Oblique propagation of nonlinear IAW is studied in magnetized e-p-i plasma [14]. It is found that the amplitude of the solitary structure changes with the imbalance of positrons and the speed of the obliquely propagating soliton in a magnetized plasma become subsonic, while it is supersonic in the unmagnetized plasma [14]. Using the QHD model the effects of Bohm potential on the linear and nonlinear properties of the IAW in an unmagnetized electron-ion plasma is considered in [15]. It is found that the quantum effects modify the linear wave frequency and the variation of these quantum effects are responsible to produce the shock waves, the bright and the dark solitons of the IAWs.

Linear analysis of positron-acoustic waves (PAW) in e-p-i spinless quantum plasma is discussed in [16, 17] and non linear properties of PAW is presented in [18–20]. For instance, Nejoh [18] is studied the nonlinear propagation of PAWs in an e-p plasma with an electron beam.

Recently, the subject of spin-1/2 quantum plasma attracts the attention of plasma physicist due to the existence of new wave phenomena [21–27]. The subject of spin-1/2 quantum plasma was first explored by Kuzmenkov et.al. in 2001 [28, 29] by presenting the method of derivation of many particle quantum hydrodynamic (QHD) equations.

The generalization of method presented by Kuzmenkov et.al. [28, 29] is given in [26, 30]. In [26] the author presents the method of the separated spin evolution (SSE) QHDs for the degenerate electrons in which the electrons with spin-up and spin-down treated as two different fluids. It is essential if the populations of spin-up electrons n_u and spin-down electrons n_d in the presence of external magnetic field is different $n_u \neq n_d$. This difference of populations of quantum states is responsible for difference of Fermi pressures of the spin-up and spin-down electrons. It lead to a new type of a soundlike solution called the spin-electron acoustic wave (SEAW).

*Electronic address: abbasiravian@yahoo.com
†Electronic address: andreevpa@physics.msu.ru

However, at the consideration of oblique propagation of longitudinal waves in magnetized plasma a pair of SEAWs is reported in [31]. For the wide-ranging studies of SEAWs the SSE quantum kinetics is derived in [32]. Analyzing the Landau damping of the SEAWs, it is concluded that these waves are weakly damped. With the aid of SSE-QHD the existence of SEAW and its properties in two dimensional electron gas situated in an external magnetic field is described in [33]. It is demonstrated that the Langmuir wave is replaced by two hybrid waves one of them is modified Langmuir wave and other is SEAW. Further, the SSE-QHD equations were applied to find the existence of surface spin-electron acoustic wave in magnetically ordered metals in [34]. It was shown that in the regime of small polarization the hybridization occurred between the surface Langmuir wave and the surface SEAW. Another important application of the SSE-QHD method is the electron-spelnon (spelnon is the quanta of SEAW) interaction which is responsible for the Cooper pair formation giving a mechanism for the high-temperature superconductivity [35]. The SSE-QHD method is further generalized by including exchange interaction effects [30]. This generalization is applied to study the solitary structure of SEAW in the degenerate e-i plasma.

Applications of the SSE-QHD in e-p-i plasma leads to the existence of four longitudinal waves either the propagation parallel or perpendicular to an external magnetic field [27]: Langmuir, SEAW, PAW, spin electron-positron acoustic waves (SEPAW). At the oblique propagation the spectrum consists of eight longitudinal waves [27]: the Langmuir wave, the Trivelpiece–Gould wave, the pair of PAWs, the pair of SEAWs, and the pair of SEPAWs. In the present work, we discuss the effects of spin polarization and variation of concentration ratio n_p/n_e on the solitary structures of PAW and recently investigated SEAW and SEPAWs.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we describe the basic equations, formulate problem of weakly non-linear evolution, and consider the first order approximation. In Sec. III we present soliton solutions arising in the second order approximation. In Sec. IV we present numerical analysis for three kinds of soliton solutions associated with the SEAW, PAW, and SEPAW. In Sec. V a brief summary of obtained results is presented.

II. MODEL

For the description of the electron-positron-ion plasma, we apply the SSE-QHD equations which are recently derived in [26, 31]. The SSE is considered at the action of the external magnetic field. In this case, each spin-1/2 species containing spin-up and spin-down particles is considered as two different fluids. In this paper, we describe the solitary structure of the recently described longitudinal (SEAW, PAW and SEPAW) waves.

Continuity equations for each spin projection of each species arise as [26]

$$\partial_t n_{as} + \nabla(n_{as}\mathbf{v}_{as}) = (-1)^{i_s} T_{az}, \tag{1}$$

where $a = e, p$ for electrons and positrons correspondingly, $s = u, d$ for the spin-up and spin-down states of particles, n_{as} and $\mathbf{v}_{as}$ are the concentration and velocity field of particles of species a being in the spin state s, $T_{az} = \frac{\gamma_a}{\hbar}(B_x S_{ay} - B_y S_{ax})$ is the z-projection of spin torque, i_s: $i_u = 2$, $i_d = 1$, γ_a is the magnetic moment, $\mid \gamma_e \mid = \gamma_p \approx \mu_B$, μ_B is the Bohr magneton, with the spin density projections S_{ax} and S_{ay}, each of them simultaneously describe evolution of the spin-up and spin-down particles of each species. Therefore, functions S_{ax} and S_{ay} do not have subindexes u and d. In this model, the z-projection of the spin density S_{az} is not an independent variable. It is a combination of concentrations $S_{az} = n_{au} - n_{ad}$. The time evolution of the velocity fields of each species of particles for each projection of spin v_{au} and v_{ad} is governed by the Euler equations [26]

$$mn_{as}(\partial_t + \mathbf{v}_{as}\nabla)\mathbf{v}_{as} + \nabla P_{as}$$

$$= q_a n_{as}\left(\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c}[\mathbf{v}_{as}, \mathbf{B}]\right) + (-1)^{i_s}\gamma_a n_{as}\nabla B_z$$

$$+ \frac{\gamma_a}{2}(S_{ax}\nabla B_x + S_{ay}\nabla B_y) + (-1)^{i_s} m(\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}_{az} - \mathbf{v}_{as}T_{az}), \tag{2}$$

with $P_{as} = (6\pi^2)^{\frac{2}{3}} n_{as}^{\frac{5}{3}}\hbar^2/5m$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}_{az} = \frac{\gamma_a}{\hbar}(\mathbf{J}_{(M)ax}B_y - \mathbf{J}_{(M)ay}B_x)$, which is the torque current, where $\mathbf{J}_{(M)ax} = (\mathbf{v}_{au} + \mathbf{v}_{ad})S_{ax}/2$, and $\mathbf{J}_{(M)ay} = (\mathbf{v}_{au} + \mathbf{v}_{ad})S_{ay}/2$ are the convective parts of the spin current tensor. $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are the electric and magnetic fields.

The Coulomb exchange interaction affects the dynamics of electrons and positrons at concentrations below 10^{25} cm^{-3} [30, 36]. We consider the concentrations above 10^{27} cm^{-3}. Hence, we do not consider the exchange interaction in hydrodynamic equations (1), (2).

In equilibrium, we assume that the stationary positive ions are present in background. So, the neutrality condition is $n_{eu} + n_{ed} = n_{pu} + n_{pd} + n_i$, where n_i is the concentration of ions. For the propagation of longitudinal waves in electron-positron-ion plasma the Poisson equation can be used

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi e(n_i + n_{pu} + n_{pd} - n_{eu} - n_{ed}) \tag{3}$$

Since we consider the propagation of longitudinal waves parallel to the external magnetic in e-p-i plasma. Hence, the perturbation of magnetic field is zero. Equations (1)-(2) can be written in the following simplified form

$$mn_{as}(\partial_t + \mathbf{v}_{as}\nabla)\mathbf{v}_{as} + \nabla P_{as} = -q_a n_{as}\nabla\phi, \tag{4}$$

$$\partial_t n_{as} + \nabla(n_{as}\mathbf{v}_{as}) = 0, \tag{5}$$

$$\nabla^2\phi = 4\pi e(n_{eu} + n_{ed} - n_{pu} - n_{pd} - n_i), \tag{6}$$

where we have used $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\phi$ and ϕ is the potential of electric field. To study the nonlinear dynamics of the spin-1/2 quantum magnetized e-p-i plasma in the SSE, we employ the reductive perturbation method [37] and transform space and time coordinates to the stretching coordinates $\xi = \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(z - Vt)$ and $\tau = \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}t$, where V is the wave phase speed and ϵ is a small dimensionless parameter measuring the amplitude of the perturbation. The hydrodynamic variables are expanded about their equilibrium values in powers ϵ of as

$$\phi = \epsilon\phi_1 + \epsilon^3\phi_2, \tag{7}$$

$$n_{as} = n_{0as} + \epsilon n_{1as} + \epsilon^2 n_{2as}, \tag{8}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{as} = \epsilon\mathbf{v}_{1as} + \epsilon^2\mathbf{v}_{2as}. \tag{9}$$

We substitute equations (7)-(9) in equations (4)-(6). The terms in the first order on ϵ from the continuity equation and Euler equation give the expression for the perturbed number density in term of the electric field as

$$n_{1as} = -\sum_{a=e,p}\left(\frac{n_{0us}\frac{q_a}{m}\phi_1}{(U_{Fau}^2 - V^2)} + \frac{n_{0ad}\frac{q_a}{m}\phi_1}{(U_{Fad}^2 - V^2)}\right), \tag{10}$$

where $U_{Fas}^2 = \frac{1}{3}\frac{\hbar^2}{m^2}\left(6\pi^2 n_{as}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$. Using the Eq. (10) in the Poisson equation in the first order on ϵ which is given as $n_{1eu} + n_{1ed} - n_{1pu} - n_{1pd} = 0$, we find

$$\frac{1-\eta_e}{(1-\eta_e)^{\frac{2}{3}} - w^2} + \frac{\beta\left(1-\beta^{-\frac{2}{3}}\eta_e\right)}{\beta^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(1-\beta^{-\frac{2}{3}}\eta_e\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} - w^2}$$

$$+\frac{1+\eta_e}{(1+\eta_e)^{\frac{2}{3}} - w^2} + \frac{\beta\left(1+\beta^{-\frac{2}{3}}\eta_e\right)}{\beta^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(1+\beta^{-\frac{2}{3}}\eta_e\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} - w^2} = 0 \tag{11}$$

in accordance with $n_{0eu} = \frac{1}{2}n_0(1-\eta_e)$, $n_{0ed} = \frac{1}{2}n_0(1+\eta_e)$, $n_{0pu} = \frac{1}{2}n_0\beta(1+\eta_p)$, $n_{0pd} = \frac{1}{2}n_0\beta(1-\eta_p)$ and $\eta_p = \beta^{-\frac{2}{3}}\eta_e$, where the imbalance of positrons $\beta = n_p/n_e$ and the spin-polarization $\eta_e = 3\mu_B B_0/2\varepsilon_{Fe}$, with the Fermi energy $\varepsilon_{Fe} = mv_{Fe}^2/2$ and $v_{Fe} = \frac{\hbar}{m}\left(3\pi^2 n_{0e}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ is Fermi velocity.

Equation (11) is a cubic equation on dimensionless phase velocity w^2 defined as $V^2 = w^2 v_{Fe}^2/3$. Each solution of above equation corresponds to the linear solution of each longitudinal wave among the three waves found in Ref. [27] i.e. SEPAW, PAW and SEAW. Dependencies of these velocities on the spin-polarization η_e and the positron imbalance β are given in Figs. 1 and 2.

III. SOLITONS

Further application of the reductive perturbation method [37] in the second order on ε leads to the nonlinear Kortewegde Vries (KdV) equation in terms electrostatic potential



FIG. 1: (Color online) The figure shows the velocity dependence on the spin polarization η at $\beta = 0.1$, where η is the spin polarization of electrons while polarization of positrons is represented via η.



FIG. 2: (Color online) The figure shows the velocity dependence on the imbalance of positrons $\beta = n_{0p}/n_{0e}$ at a fixed spin polarization $\eta = 0.1$.

$$D\partial_\tau\phi_1 - R\partial_\xi\phi_1^2 + \partial_\xi^3\phi_1 = 0. \tag{12}$$

Coefficients D and R are given below.

In order to find the solitary wave solution, we introduce $\sigma = \zeta - U_0\tau$, where U_0 is the soliton propagation velocity. Next, we integrate the KdV equation (12) and apply the boundary conditions such that ϕ_1 and its derivatives approaches to zero at $\sigma \to \pm\infty$. This yields the well-known soliton solution of KdV equation (12)

$$\phi_1 = -\frac{3DU_0}{2R}\frac{1}{\cosh^2[\frac{\sqrt{DU_0}\sigma}{2}]}. \tag{13}$$

The explicit form of the coefficients D and R are found to be as follows

$$D = 2V\sum_{s=u,d}\left(\frac{\omega_{Les}^2}{(U_{Fes}^2 - V^2)^2} + \frac{\omega_{Lps}^2}{(U_{Fps}^2 - V^2)^2}\right), \tag{14}$$

and

$$R=\frac{e}{m}\sum_{s=u,d}\left[\frac{\omega_{Les}^2}{(U_{Fes}^2-V^2)^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{V^2+\frac{1}{3}U_{Fes}^2}{V^2-U_{Fes}^2}\right)-\frac{\omega_{Lps}^2}{(U_{Fps}^2-V^2)^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{V^2+\frac{1}{3}U_{Fps}^2}{V^2-U_{Fps}^2}\right)\right]. \tag{15}$$

From the Eq. (13) it is clear that the properties of solitons depend upon the signs of coefficients D and R. For the numerical analysis of the soliton solution (13) we write the coefficients $D=\sqrt{3}D_0/(v_{Fe}r_{De}^2)$ and $R=3eR_0/(2mv_{Fe}^2r_{De}^2)$ in term of dimensionless parameters:

$$D_0=\left[\frac{w(1-\eta_e)}{\left((1-\eta_e)^{\frac{2}{3}}-w^2\right)^2}+\frac{w(1+\eta_e)}{\left((1+\eta_e)^{\frac{2}{3}}-w^2\right)^2}+\frac{w\beta\left(1-\beta^{-\frac{2}{3}}\eta_e\right)}{\left(\beta^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(1-\beta^{-\frac{2}{3}}\eta_e\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}-w^2\right)^2}+\frac{w\beta\left(1+\beta^{-\frac{2}{3}}\eta_e\right)}{\left(\beta^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(1+\beta^{-\frac{2}{3}}\eta_e\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}-w^2\right)^2}\right], \tag{16}$$

and

$$R_0=\left[\frac{(1-\eta_e)}{\left((1-\eta_e)^{\frac{2}{3}}-w^2\right)^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{w^2+\frac{1}{3}(1-\eta_e)^{\frac{2}{3}}}{\left(w^2-(1-\eta_e)^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)}\right)-\frac{\beta\left(1-\beta^{-\frac{2}{3}}\eta_e\right)}{\left(\beta^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(1-\beta^{-\frac{2}{3}}\eta_e\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}-w^2\right)^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{w^2+\frac{1}{3}\beta^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(1-\beta^{-\frac{2}{3}}\eta_e\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}}{\left(w^2-\beta^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(1-\beta^{-\frac{2}{3}}\eta_e\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)}\right)\right.$$

$$\left.+\frac{(1+\eta_e)}{\left((1+\eta_e)^{\frac{2}{3}}-w^2\right)^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{w^2+\frac{1}{3}(1+\eta_e)^{\frac{2}{3}}}{\left(w^2-(1+\eta_e)^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)}\right)-\frac{\beta\left(1+\beta^{-\frac{2}{3}}\eta_e\right)}{\left(\beta^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(1+\beta^{-\frac{2}{3}}\eta_e\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}-w^2\right)^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{w^2+\frac{1}{3}\beta^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(1+\beta^{-\frac{2}{3}}\eta_e\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}}{\left(w^2-\beta^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(1+\beta^{-\frac{2}{3}}\eta_e\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)}\right)\right] \tag{17}$$

where we used the dimensionless velocity of the soliton w and the Debye radius $r_{De}^2=v_{Fe}^2/3\omega_{Le}^2$ of electrons.

Amplitudes of all solitons are proportional to $D/R=(2\sqrt{3}(3\pi^2)^{1/3}\hbar/3e)n_{0e}^{1/3}D_0/R_0$. It shows that the amplitudes of all solitons increase with the increase of equilibrium concentration of electrons.

Coefficient R can be equal to zero for the SEAW, as we show it below. In this case, amplitude of soliton ϕ_1 goes to infinity. Our approximation of small perturbations does not work in the area of the divergence. Hence, we present our results on SEA soliton far from the divergence, in area of applicability of our approach.

As we have mentioned in the introduction the analysis of soliton solution of SEAW for e-i plasma is presented in [30]. In this paper, we discuss the soliton solutions of PAWs [18–20] and recently found SEPAW and SEAW waves in e-p-i plasma [27]. Although, the non-linear PAW has been presented for spinless plasma in literature [18–20], but we first time present the effect of spin polarization on the non-linear PAW.

IV. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF SOLITON SOLUTIONS

We work in area of parameters near the equilibrium concentration $n_0=10^{27}$ cm^{-3} and the external magnetic field $B_0=10^{10}$ G. Quasi stability of e-p-i plasmas at this parameters is follows from calculations presented in Refs. [38] and [39].

The increase of the concentration n_e leads to the decrease of the spin polarization since $\gamma_e=3\mu_BB_0/2\varepsilon_{Fe}$. Therefore, the area of larger concentrations corresponds to the area of smaller spin polarization.

Three branches of the phase velocity V leads to formation of three different solitons. Fig. 1 shows that the upper line describing SEAW slowly goes down showing the decrease of the phase velocity with the increase of the spin-polarization. The middle line describing PAW shows different behavior. Its phase velocity slowly increases with the increase of the spin-polarization. Its increase is faster in compare with the decrease rate of SEAW phase velocity. The lower line describes SEPAW. Its phase velocity quickly decreases at the increase of the spin-polarization. Fig. 2 shows that all branches increases with the increase of the positron imbalance. At



FIG. 3: (Color online) The figure shows the profile of the SEPA soliton at different parameters presented in the pictures. We keep fixed equilibrium concentration of electrons $n_{0e} = 10^{27}$ cm^{-3}. Here and below, we change concentration of positrons to change β which affects polarization of positrons. We change external magnetic field to change spin polarization of electrons and positrons with no effect on β. For $\eta_e = 0.1$ we have $B_0 = 4.205 \times 10^{10}$ G and for $\eta_e = 0.2$ we have $B_0 = 8.410 \times 10^{10}$ G. Here and below, the amplitude of soliton ϕ_1 and coordinate σ are presented in a dimensionless forms $\Phi = \sqrt{3}e\phi_1/(2mv_{Fe}U_0)$ and $\Sigma = \sqrt{\sqrt{3}U_0/v_{Fe}}\sigma/r_{De}$.



FIG. 4: (Color online) The figure shows the positron acoustic soliton with variations of the spin polarization η_e and the positron imbalance β.

large positron imbalance difference of their phase velocity becomes rather small. In the following subsections, we describe each soliton.

A. SEPA soliton

As it was demonstrated in our previous paper [27], the SEPAW existing in degenerate e-p-i plasmas is one of three waves with the linear spectrum at small k which has minimal phase velocity. Hence, the SEPAW corresponds to the smallest solution in Figs. 1 and 2. Substituting this solution in coefficients D_0 and R_0 presented by formulae (16) and (17), we obtain an explicit form of the SEPA soliton. This solution is presented in Fig. 3. To the best of our knowledge, existence of the SEPA soliton is demonstrated in this paper for the first time. Let us describe properties of the SEPA soliton demonstrated in Fig. 3.

Fig. 3 shows that at larger positron imbalance (the lower figure with $\beta = 0.5$ in compare with the upper figure with $\beta = 0.1$) the change of spin polarization make smaller effect. Moreover, the increase of spin polarization gives opposite effects at small $\beta = 0.1$ and large $\beta = 0.5$ positron imbalance. At $\beta = 0.1$ ($\beta = 0.5$), the increase of spin polarization from $\eta = 0.1$ to $\eta = 0.2$ leads to the decrease (the increase) of the amplitude and width of SEPA soliton.

On the other hand, we can compare the soliton profiles at different β at fixed spin polarization. We see that red (continuous) lines in the upper and the lower pictures in Fig. 3 corresponding to $\eta = 0.1$ goes higher and thinner at the increase of β from $\beta = 0.1$ to $\beta = 0.5$. It shows that amplitude increases and the width decreases.

At the larger polarization $\eta = 0.2$ described by blue (dashed) lines in the upper and the lower pictures in Fig. 3 soliton becomes considerable higher and its width increases slightly at the increase of β from $\beta = 0.1$ to $\beta = 0.5$.

In all considered regimes, the electric potential in the SEPA soliton is positive. Thus, we find that the SEPA soliton is a bright soliton of the electric potential.



FIG. 5: (Color online) The figure shows the effect of spin polarization η_e and positron imbalance β on the spin electron acoustic soliton.

B. SEA soliton

The bulk SEAW in e-i plasmas was predicted in Ref. [26]. Corresponding soliton solution was found in Ref. [30]. Considering the SSE in e-p-i plasmas, in our previous paper, we described the properties of the linear SEAWs. We present now the behavior of SEA soliton in e-p-i plasmas.

In opposite to the SEPA soliton described in the previous subsection and the PA soliton described in the next subsection, the electric potential in the SEA soliton is negative at small positron imbalance $\beta = 0.1$. In this regime, we obtain that the SEA soliton is a dark soliton of the electric potential.

Fig. 5 shows that at the larger positron imbalance (the lower figure with $\beta = 0.5$ in compare with the upper figure with $\beta = 0.1$), the coefficient R in the KdV equation (12) changes its sign. Hence, the electric potential in the SEA soliton is positive at the larger positron imbalance $\beta = 0.5$ and the SEA soliton is a bright soliton of the electric potential, in this regime.

Fig. 5 shows that at the larger positron imbalance the change of spin polarization make larger effect. Moreover, the increase of spin polarization gives opposite effects at small $\beta = 0.1$ and large $\beta = 0.5$ positron imbalance. At $\beta = 0.1$, the increase of spin polarization from $\eta = 0.1$ to $\eta = 0.2$ leads to the increase of the amplitude and width of SEPA soliton. The change of amplitude is rather small, while the width becomes almost two times larger. At $\beta = 0.1$, the increase of spin polarization from $\eta = 0.1$ to $\eta = 0.2$ decreases the module of amplitude making it approximately two times smaller. In this regime, the width increases becoming almost two times larger.

Considering a fixed spin polarization we can compare the soliton profiles at different β. As we have mentioned above, the change of β leads to change of sign of the electric potential. This change happens due to change of R located in the denominator of the amplitude. It means that solution tends to infinity in the area of $R = 0$. The applied approximation requires small amplitude of the solutions. Thus, prediction near the area of β and η giving $R = 0$ is not covered by our analysis.

We see that module of amplitude goes higher at the increase of β from $\beta = 0.1$ to $\beta = 0.5$ in the following regime: $\eta = 0.1$ described by the red (continuous) lines in the upper and the lower pictures in Fig. 5. The width does not show changes under described conditions.

At the larger spin polarization $\eta = 0.2$ described by blue (dashed) lines in the upper and the lower pictures in Fig. 5 module of the soliton amplitude slightly increases (on 20 percents). The width does not show visible changes.

C. PA soliton

Existence of the PAWs and their non-linear evolution was demonstrated in Ref. [18]. Influence of the SSE and spin polarization on the spectrum of linear PAWs in considered in Ref. [27]. We describe now the non-linear evolution of PAWs at the account of SSE. The soliton profile at different values of parameters is presented in Fig. 4.

The PA solitons arises as a bright soliton in terms of the electric potential.

The increase of spin polarization at fixed β leads to the decrease of the positron-acoustic soliton amplitude and width Fig. 4. This decrease is larger at the larger $\beta = 0.5$ positron imbalance.

Next, we compare the soliton profiles at different β at fixed spin polarization. We see that red (continuous) lines in Fig. 4 corresponding to $\eta = 0.1$ goes higher and thinner at the increase of β from $\beta = 0.1$ to $\beta = 0.5$. It shows that amplitude increases and the width decreases.

At the larger polarization $\eta = 0.2$ described by blue (dashed) lines in Fig. 4 soliton shows different behavior. The increase of β from $\beta = 0.1$ to $\beta = 0.5$ decreases the amplitude and width and this change is rather significant.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Earlier, analysis of the SSE in quantum plasmas allowed to predict the existence of SEAWs in degenerate e-i and e-p-i plasmas. It also revealed existence of the SEPAWs in degenerate e-p-i plasmas. The spin-electron acoustic solitons propagating parallel to the external magnetic field was predicted as well. In spite the number of prediction of new phenomena, in this paper, we have demonstrated existence of spin-electron-positron acoustic solitons propagating parallel to the external magnetic field in degenerate e-p-i plasmas. We have made this prediction along with description of spin-electron acoustic solitons in e-p-i plasmas which were described earlier for e-i plasmas. We have shown influence of the spin polarization on the properties of positron-acoustic solitons which were well known for spinless e-p-i plasmas.

Altogether, we see that at weakly non-linear evolution e-p-i plasmas demonstrate existence of three solitons. Each of them is associated with one of three longitudinal waves having linear spectrum in the long-wavelength regime: SEAW, PAW, and SEPAW.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work of P.A. was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 16-32-00886) and the Dynasty foundation. The work of Z.I. was supported by the higher education commission Pakistan for the financial support under IRSIP Award No. 1-8/HEC/HRD/2015/4027.

[1] H. R. Miller and P. J. Witta, Active Galactic Nuclei, Berlin Springer, p. 202 (1987).
[2] P. N. Arendt, Jr., and A. J. Eilek, Astrophys. J. **581**, 469 (2002).
[3] P. A. Sturrock, Astrophys. J. **164**, 529 (1971).
[4] W. B. Han, R. Ruffini and S. S. Xue, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 084004 (2012).
[5] N. Iwamoto, Phys. Rev. E **47**, 1 (1993).
[6] G. P. Zank and R. G. Greaves, Phys. Rev. E **51**, 9 (1995).
[7] Y. Wang, P. K. Shukla and B. Eliasson, Phys. Plasmas **20**, 013103 (2013).
[8] D. Lai, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73** 629 (2001); A. K. Harding and D. Lai, Rep. Prog. Phys. **69**, 2631 (2006).
[9] S. L. Shapiro and S. A. Teukolsky, Black Holes, White Dwarfs and Neutron Stars: The Physics of Compact Objects, WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, Germany (2004).
[10] R. C. Davidson, Methods in Nonlinear Plasma Theory (New York: Academic) p. 15 (1972).
[11] D. R. Nicolson, Introduction to Plasma Theory (New York: Wiley) p. 171 (1983).
[12] A. Hasegawa, Plasma Instabilities and Nonlinear Effects (New York: Springer) p. 34 (1975).
[13] S. I. Popel, S. V. Vladimirov and P. K. Shukla, Phys. Plasmas **2**, 716 (1995).
[14] S. Mahmood, A. Mushtaq, and H. Saleem, New J. Phys. **5**, 28 (2003).
[15] F. Haas, L. G. Garcia, J. Goedert, and G. Manfredi, Phys. Plasmas **10**, 3858 (2003).
[16] N. L. Tsintsadze, L. N. Tsintsadze, A. Hussain and G. Murtaza, Eur. Phys. J. D **64**, 447 (2011).
[17] N. L. Tsintsadze, R. Chaudhary, A. Rasheed, J. Plasma Phys. **79**, 587 (2013).
[18] Y. N. Nejoh, Aust. J. Phys. **49**, 967 (1996).
[19] H. Metref and M. Tribeche, Phys. Plasmas **21**, 122117 (2014).
[20] M. Tribeche, K. Aoutou, S. Younsi and R. Amour, Phys. Plasmas **16**, 072103 (2009).
[21] D. V. Vagin, N. E. Kim, P. A. Polyakov and A. E. Rusakov, Izv. RAN Ser. Fiz. **70** (3), 443 (2006) [Bull. Rus. Acad. Sci.: Phys. **70** (3), 505 (2006)].
[22] P. A. Andreev and L.S. Kuz'menkov, Moscow Univ. Phys. Bull. **62**, 271 (2007).
[23] G. Brodin, M. Marklund, J. Zamanian, A. Ericsson and P. L. Mana, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 245002 (2008).
[24] A. P. Misra, G. Brodin, M. Marklund and P.K. Shukla, J. Plasma Phys. **76**, 857 (2010).
[25] A. Hussain, M. Stefan and G. Brodin, Phys. Plasmas **21**, 032104 (2014).
[26] P. A. Andreev, Phys. Rev. E **91**, 033111 (2015).
[27] P. A. Andreev and Z. Iqbal, arXiv:1601.00761.
[28] L. S. Kuz'menkov, S. G. Maksimov, and V. V. Fedoseev, Theor. Math. Phys. **126**, 110 (2001).
[29] L. S. Kuz'menkov, S. G. Maksimov, and V. V. Fedoseev, Theor. Math. Phys. **126**, 212 (2001).
[30] P. A. Andreev, Phys. Plasmas **23**, 012106 (2016).
[31] P. A. Andreev, Annals of Physics **361**, 278 (2015).
[32] P. A. Andreev, arXiv:1409.7885.
[33] P. A. Andreev and L. S. Kuz'menkov, EPL **113**, 17001 (2016).
[34] P. A. Andreev and L. S. Kuz'menkov, arXiv:1512.07940.
[35] P. A. Andreev, P. A. Polyakov and L. S. Kuz'menkov, arXiv:1507.03295.
[36] P. A. Andreev, Annals of Physics **350**, 198 (2014).
[37] H. Washimi and T. Taniuti, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 996 (1966).
[38] R. Svensson, Astrophys. J. **258**, 335 (1982).
[39] M. Akbari-Moghanjoughi, Phys. Plasmas **17**, 082315 (2010).